

**(262) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-821-2020

Date of Decision: 17.02.2020

Pooja and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Navdeep Monga, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ripu Daman, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 5

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarter, Fatehabad, filed on behalf of State/respondents No.1 and 2. Perusal of the same, this shows that the petitioner No.1 had given statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. before the learned SDJM, Ratia, in which it was stated that pursuant to her marriage with petitioner No.2, the matter has since been reconciliation between the families and there is no requirement of further security. The private respondents No. 3 to 5 have similarly given statement before the learned SDJM, Ratia that they accept marriage solemnized between petitioners and it transpires even the requirement of fixed deposit of Rs.2,00,000/- in the name of the petitioner No.1 by the petitioner No.2, has been complied with.

In such circumstances, no further order is required to be passed, the matter is treated as disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

17.02.2020

Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No