

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.242

CWP No.1977 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: March 04, 2020

AMIT KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Shadab Ahmad, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Mr. G.S. Cheema, Advocate for Mr. Himanshu Raj, Advocate,
puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 by filing his vakalatnama
and submits that the result of the petitioner has been revised and he has
passed. Thus, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, the
petitioner shall have liberty to get the same revived, in case, some grievance
survives.

March 04, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No