

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1733 of 2020

Date of decision: 28.01.2020

Varun Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak and others

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the writ petition is for directing the respondents to consider and decide the application/representation Annexure P/27 dated 09.09.2019, for re-allotting available workload instead of engaging fresh resource person/Assistant Professor in the department of Physical Education. Learned counsel states that the representation submitted by the petitioner has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Grievance of the petitioner is qua order Annexure P/9 dated 26.09.2016, vide which respondent No.3 withdrew the workload of the petitioner. However, without commenting on the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to

consider and decide representation Annexure P/27 dated 09.09.2019, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

28.01.2020
rajesh.k.khurana

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.