

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118/2

CR-580-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 28.01.2020

DAVINDER PAL SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

MEENA SHARMA AND OTHERS

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been preferred against the order dated 20.12.2019, whereby the application of the petitioner for recalling the order dated 06.05.2016 and for setting aside the ex parte proceedings dated 06.05.2016, has been dismissed.

It is apparent from the material on record that the rent petition had earlier been preferred against respondents Lakhwinder Singh and Pali but the petitioner had preferred an application for his impleadment which had been allowed by the Rent Controller. The petitioner had also preferred objections before the executing court and he was heard by the executing court before the order had been passed. The objections preferred by the petitioner had been considered and dismissed by the executing court on 20.12.2019, which he had challenged in the Civil Revision petition bearing CR No.521 of 2020. CR No.521 of 2020 has been dismissed by this Court today.

Therefore, as the petitioner had been heard and his objections had been considered by the executing court, no prejudice has been caused to him by the impugned order.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.01.2020.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No