

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.2860 of 2020
Date of Decision: 28.01.2020**

Rajinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. R.S. Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.0325 dated 07.12.2019 registered under Sections 353, 186, 332, 333, 283, 427, 188, 148, 149 IPC and Section 3 of Public Property (Prevention of Damage) Act 1985 registered at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he along with others was sitting on protest in front of Court on account of harassment meted to one lady doctor. Petitioner is Vice

President of Kirti Kissan Union. Petitioner was not arrested from the spot. After his arrest from some other place, he was lodged in jail, where one gangster inflicted injuries on his person. Petitioner is alleged to have given some injuries on the person of ASI Swaran Singh. The allegations are that mob broke up the barricades and attacked the police with sticks of flags. They were equipped with numerous sticks and they also pelted stones over the police party.

Petitioner was made to suffer for leading a protest for seeking justice for the lady doctor. The complicity of the petitioner viz-a-viz the offence in question would remain debatable in very nature of the case. Some of the offences are the aggravated form of offence under Section 186 IPC which is non-cognizable in nature. The damages to the public property in view of presence of numerous persons on the spot would also be debatable so far as complicity of the petitioner is concerned.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Naginder Singh submits that the challan has not been presented so far. Petitioner is in judicial custody.

In view of above, without meaning anything on merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate,

Faridkot.

Nothing expressed hereinabove, would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

28.01.2020

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No