

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2892-2020
Date of decision: 29.01.2020**

Amarjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. B. B. S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 130 dated 25.09.2019, registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC at Police Station Navi Baradari, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon order dated 09.01.2020 passed by this Court in **CRM-M-54780-2019**, vide which co-accused Vishal Singh has been granted concession of regular bail by passing the following order:

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner along with his co-accused has prepared forged documents i.e. Aadhar Card, which was used as a genuine document for furnishing bail/surety bonds in Court for securing the release of an accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is a first offender and he is not involved in any

other case and his co-accused namely Vineet Kumar @ Vicky has already been granted concession of regular bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, vide order dated 19.11.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is in judicial custody since 25.09.2019; challan stands presented and conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the application for furnishing bail bonds was verified and upon such verification, the case was registered and the petitioner is not involved in any other such or similar case. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.09.2019 and investigation is complete.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurdial Singh and on the basis of the custody certificate filed today in Court, has not disputed the factual position.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the fact that petitioner is in judicial custody since 28.09.2019; he is not involved in any other case; co-accused has already been granted concession of regular bail as noticed above and also in view of the fact that conclusion of trial is likely to take some time, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

29.01.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*