

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3015-2020

Date of decision : 19.02.2020

Rajat Jaitly and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Petitioner No.1 in person, with
 Mr. Yatin Arora, Advocate with
 Ms. Rekha Aggarwal, Advocate and
 Ms. Deepali Sharma, Advocate.

 Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

 Respondent No.2 in person, with
 Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.243 dated 16.06.2019, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/deed of divorce dated 18.12.2019 (Annexure P-3) arrived at, between the parties.

A draft of ₹11,00,000/- has been handed over to the complainant-respondent No.2, who is present in Court today. A photocopy of the same has been retained.

Vide order dated 23.01.2020 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 05.02.2020 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned CJM, Panchkula, in pursuance to the direction of this Court. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel also submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned CJM, Panchkula and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

19.02.2020

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No