

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.02.25 12:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-2999-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2020.

Srishti

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Ketan Antil, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-5776-2020

For the reasons stated in the application, prayer for impleadment of respondent No.6 i.e. Inspector General of Police, Haryana, is allowed.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Application is, accordingly, disposed of.

CRM-M-2999-2020

Grievance of the petitioner is to the effect that an FIR No. 0619 dated 28.10.2019 has been registered at her instance at Police Station Sonipat City, District Sonipat, but proper investigation is not being conducted in the matter and she is apprehending danger to her life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in this regard, the petitioner has already submitted a representation contained at Annexure P-3 to respondent No.6. He further asserts that although, no date has been mentioned in the representation but the same was submitted on 02.11.2019.

Without commenting anything on the genuineness of the allegations, as put forth in the representation, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.6 to look into the grievance of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

24.02.2020.

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No