

CRM-M-2876-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2876-2020  
Date of decision: 27.02.2020

Manvir Singh Brar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. J.S.Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)**

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.4 dated 10.01.2020 registered under Sections 489-A, 406, 323, 506 IPC (Section 489-A IPC has been wrongly typed in vernacular of FIR instead of Section 498-A IPC) at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR in question has been filed by the complainant on the basis of totally vague and false allegations. It has been contended that in fact during the 12 years of their marriage, not even once did the complainant ever lodge any complaint against the petitioner, alleging harassment or torture. Hence, it is very apparent that a concocted version has been fabricated by the complainant against the petitioner. It has been further contended that the complainant has been levelling allegations

CRM-M-2876-2020

against the petitioner at the behest of her parents, who wanted the petitioner to transfer his agricultural land in the name of the complainant.

In compliance of order dated 23.01.2020, parties are present in person and an amount of Rs.15,000/- has been handed over to respondent No.2 towards litigation expenses. On a query put up by this Court, parties reiterated their allegations against each other.

Learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and submitted that there are serious allegations levelled against the petitioner of physically assaulting the complainant on a number of occasions and the same finds corroboration from the medical examination conducted on her on 07.01.2020, soon after the occurrence in question when she confronted the petitioner with obscene photographs found on his mobile phone.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the allegations levelled against the petitioner in the FIR in hand.

A perusal of the FIR reveals that there are serious allegations of physical assault and cruelty levelled against the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find any ground to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

27.02.2020  
sonia

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/non-speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No