

CRWP-740-2020

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRWP-740-2020

Date of Decision: 06.03.2020

SONU ALIAS SURENDER

....Petitioner

VS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

**Present: Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, D.A.G., Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking directions to respondent No.2 to pass an order for releasing the petitioner on parole for a period of four weeks, under Section 3(1)(a) of Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988, on the ground of treatment of his mother, who is stated to be suffering from Dilate Cardiomyopathy with severe LV Dysfunction with LVEF-18% with Chronic Obstructive Pulnary Disease.

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that mother of the petitioner is suffering from Dilated Cardiomyopathy with severe LV

Dysfunction with LVEF-18% with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary disease and in the opinion of Doctor, this is a critical illness.

On the other hand, Learned State Counsel opposes the prayer made in the petition and submits that as per Annexure R-1 issued by concerned Doctor of DM (Interventional Cardiology), FNIC, FAPSIC, Consultant, Department of Cardiology, mother of the petitioner had not been admitted in the hospital. He further submits that the mother of the petitioner is undergoing treatment as an outdoor patient and her son (brother of the petitioner) is there to take care of the patient.

Heard.

Keeping in view the facts that there is no serious ailment of the mother of the petitioner and brother of the petitioner is there to take care of his mother, we see no reason to allow the present petition and extend the benefit of parole to the petitioner, at this stage.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

06.03.2020

Himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No