

228-9

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.2525-26-CII of 2016 IN/AND
CR No.4988 of 2012
Date of decision: January 20, 2020**

Anup Madaan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rabinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate, for the non-applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sehgal, Advocate for the applicant-respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present revision petition has been filed under Section 15(5) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 for setting aside the impugned judgment dated 25.04.2012 passed by learned Appellate Authority, Chandigarh, whereby, appeal of the petitioner-tenant was dismissed and the eviction order dated 18.11.2006 of learned Rent Controller, Chandigarh, was affirmed.

At the outset, it is stated by learned counsel for the landlord-respondent that shop in question has already been vacated by the petitioner-tenant and the same is duly acknowledged by the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from his client.

On the basis of oral request made by both the sides, main revision petition, which was admitted on 23.12.2015 is taken up for

disposal, today itself, with their consent.

Concededly, the premises in question has already been vacated by the petitioner-tenant and peaceful possession thereof is delivered to the landlord-respondent, therefore, nothing survives in the present petition; consequently, the same is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous. Pending application(s), if any, are also disposed off.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

January 20, 2020

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No