

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-2935 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.06.2020.**

Rajnish Jindal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video
Conferencing.

CRM No.12232 of 2020

Present application is for preponement of the case.

In view of grounds mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed.

Case is taken up for hearing for today itself.

CRM-M-2935 of 2020

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of
regular bail to petitioner-**Rajnish Jindal** in case F.I.R. No.269 dated
21.09.2013 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station

Ramamandi, District Jalandhar City.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 29.11.2019 and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 29.11.2019 and consequent trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner-**Rajnish Jindal** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Jalandhar, as the case may be. However, petitioner has been given liberty to defer the furnishing of surety bond due to COVID-19 and he is

CRM-M-2935 of 2020 :3:

directed to furnish the surety bond to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief
Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Jalandhar, as the case may be, on
culmination of nationwide lockdown due to COVID-19.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

03.06.2020

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No