

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP No.1945 of 2020

Date of Decision : 24.01.2020

Kamlesh

....Petitioner

v/s

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel contends that despite service of the petitioner having been regularized vide order (Annexure P-4) dated 11.08.2017 w.e.f. date of joining i.e. 30.09.1992 as LDC and the petitioner fulfilling the conditions for grant of 1st, 2nd and 3rd ACP in terms of Notification (Annexure P-5) dated 30.12.2008 and (Annexure P-6) dated 04.03.2014, the benefit of ACPs has not been released to the petitioner despite legal notice (Annexure P-7) dated 10.10.2019 and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-7) dated 10.10.2019 in a time bound manner.

[2] Notice of motion.

[3] Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and waives service.

[4] Learned counsel for the respondents states that he does not oppose the limited prayer of the petitioner.

[5] Without commenting upon the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-7) dated 10.10.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled to the relief claimed, the same be released within a period of 01 month thereafter.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

January 24, 2020
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No