

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2668 of 2020.

Decided on:- January 27, 2020.

Arsheeda.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No.346 dated 28.06.2019 under Sections 6 and 17 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (for short, the POCSO Act) registered at Police Station Tauru, District Nuh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the only allegation against the petitioner is that she had introduced the prosecutrix with main accused Mustafa, who allegedly committed rape upon the prosecutrix. The petitioner is in custody since 06.11.2019.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the custody and the allegation against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the nature of allegation against the petitioner that she had introduced the prosecutrix with co-accused Mustafa, who committed sexual assault upon her and noticing the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 06.11.2019 and the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future, this Court finds that the petitioner deserves to be admitted on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

January 27, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No