

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2742 of 2020

Date of Decision : 13.03.2020

Sat Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is accused in F.I.R. No.0665, dated 29.11.2017, under Sections 186, 34 (deleted), 353 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now respondents No.2 and 3, who are complainant and injured, have arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak, vide report dated 05.03.2020

has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their Counsel who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rohtak, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since respondents No.2 and 3 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.0665, dated 29.11.2017, under Sections 186, 34 (deleted), 353 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sampla, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1), along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed *qua* the petitioner.

March 13, 2020

Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No