

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(202)

**CRM No. M-3325 of 2020
Date of Decision : 09.07.2020**

Ram Chander alias Kalu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Ms. Riffi Birla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arjunveer Sharma, Advocate for the complainant.

(presence marked through video conference)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that instead of the complainant, other witnesses are being examined, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that he has no objection in case the complainant is examined as the next witness.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the complainant, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that she be allowed to withdraw this petition, at this stage with liberty to file a fresh one after the statement of the complainant is recorded.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty, as prayed for.

The trial Court will keep the above circumstances in mind and it will be appreciated, in case the complainant is examined as the next witness.

July 09, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*