

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-2412-2020
Date of decision: 16.03.2020

Prithvi Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.253 dated 15.10.2019 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar.

The operative part of the order dated 20.01.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time when the alleged offence was committed vide which while furnishing the surety bonds on behalf of the petitioner who was in custody in earlier FIR and it was found from the revenue record that the documents furnished along with surety bonds were forged.

Counsel for the petitioner further submits that it is a matter of trial to be seen whether the petitioner and the accused who furnished the bail bonds has meeting of their minds and it was in knowledge of the petitioner that the surety will furnish bonds on the basis of forged documents. Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that fresh surety bonds in the said FIR lodged

has already been submitted by the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 16.3.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 20.01.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Sumeet Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 20.01.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.03.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No