

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-2409-2020
Date of decision: 16.03.2020**

Pardeep Kumar @ Raja and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. A. S. Khinda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anmol Moudgill, Advocate for
Mr. D. S. Nigha, Advocate
for respondent No. 2/complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 71 dated 01.09.2019, registered under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Fattu Dyinga, District Kapurthala.

The operative part of the order dated 23.01.2020, vide which the petitioners have been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioners has relied upon the compromise dated 11.12.2019 (Annexure P2) effected between the parties.

Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate has filed Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent No.2 and acknowledged the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Adjourned to 16.03.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners,

in pursuance to the order dated 23.01.2020, have already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Dalbir Singh, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners, vide order dated 23.01.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.03.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No