

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-2420-2020

Date of Decision:13.02.2020

Gurpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

**Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate,
for the complainant.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.187 dated 09.09.2019 under Sections 376, 417 IPC, registered at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there were some misunderstandings between the parties and now the matter has been settled between them. Petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2019. Even the prosecutrix has not been examined in the case. He further states that the petitioner and the prosecutrix were otherwise living in relationship. Trial in the case is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the

prosecutrix has no objection in case the petitioner is admitted on bail as the matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned State Counsel has shown his ignorance about the compromise. He submits that the State is not aware about the compromise so arrived at between the parties, but for the medical conducted on the person of the prosecutrix, medical report is awaited.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner is in custody since 09.09.2019. Trial in the case is not likely to be concluded in the near future. Considering the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties and DNA report in the case is awaited, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

However, the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed with the trial independently without being influenced by the order of bail passed by this Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not try to influence any prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly in any manner.

February 13, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No