

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP No. 613 of 2020
Date of decision : 26.02.2020**

PratimaPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Talim Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, Asst.AG Haryana
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from danger at the hands of respondent No. 4.

Notice of the petition was issued to the respondents. Reply of Sh. Vivek Chaudhary, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hodal on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 has been filed today in the Court, which is taken on record. Copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 4 and filed his power of attorney which is taken on record.

The petitioner has made statement that she had left her house at her own free will. She had filed the petition under pressure of the NGO. Now, she does not have any apprehension of danger from respondent No. 4 or any other person. She does not want to continue with the petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn.

In view of the statement of the petitioner, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek revival of this petition in case the petitioner has any apprehension of danger from respondent No. 4 or any other person.

26.02.2020

Rajeev (rvs)

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No