

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

125

CR-669-2020 (O & M)

Date of Decision: January 30, 2020

PUSHPA DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

VIJAY KUMAR AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 02.08.2019 whereby his application under Order 11 Rule 1 CPC for grant of leave to deliver the set of interrogatories to the respondents has been denied.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that through the interrogatories, the petitioner sought to know the details of the other properties which were owned by the respondents-landlords.

Heard.

A perusal of the impugned order indicates that the eviction petition preferred by the respondents-landlords was fixed for the evidence of the petitioner/respondent and it has been observed by the Rent Controller that the petitioner herein/tenant would have an ample opportunity to put such questions during cross-examination of the respondents-landlords. It has also been observed that a fishy inquiry into the ownership of the properties of the respondents/landlords could not be directed at this stage.

I do not find any manifest illegality in the order passed by the Rent Controller which would warrant interference while exercising the revisional jurisdiction.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 30, 2020

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No