

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1518 of 2020
Date of decision :20.01.2020**

Sunita Yadav

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Mazlish Khan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is working as Extension Lecturers/Assistant Professor since 20.07.2017. Her apprehension is that she is in the process of being replaced by another set of contractual Extension Lecturers. She seeks direction to the respondents to allow her to work till the regularly selected employees join and she should not be replaced by another set of contractual employees or through transfer/deputation of regular employees.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Kiran Pal Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. A copy of the paper book be handed over to him during the course of the day.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is being disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.2 to allow the petitioner to work till regular appointments are made and she will not be replaced from another set of contractual employees or through transfer/deputation of regular employees. However, it is made clear that if some new regular employees joins, the petitioner can not be relieved as per ***Menka and others vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 05.05.2016*** and further the respondents will consider the retention of the petitioner as per guidelines issued on 13.07.2018.

20.01.2020
anil

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned = Yes/No.

Whether reportable= Yes/No.