

Pawanpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

v/s

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Lalit Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated that both the petitioners have attained the age for a valid marriage. The marriage of the petitioners has been solemnized on 15.01.2020. Copy of Aadhar cards, marriage certificate and photographs are on record. The petitioners are stated to be residing at Village Behram Sarista, District Jalandhar, Punjab. Apprehending danger to their lives, at the instance of private respondents who are the relatives of the petitioners, the present petition has been instituted. The allegations are also substantiated with the joint affidavit of both the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Jalandhar, Punjab to look into the matter and if he finds that apprehension of danger to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners is real, he shall take appropriate

steps to ensure their protection as he feels necessary, in such circumstances of the case.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage or any civil or criminal proceedings.

20.01.2020

Janki

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No