

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

**CRM-21577-2020 in/and
CRM-M-2149-2020
DATE OF DECISION: 16.09.2020**

DEEPAK

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.
Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-21577-2020

This application has been filed for advancing the date of hearing in the main case.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice and has no objection in case the application is allowed.

Accordingly, the application is allowed and date of hearing in the main case is advanced to today. Main case is taken on board.

CRM-M-2149-2020

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 91 dated 10.07.2019 registered at Police Station Mohana, District Sonapat, under Sections 379-B & 34 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since the date of registration of the FIR. The trial is not

**CRM-21577-2020 in/and
CRM-M-2149-2020**

likely to be concluded at an early date as 05 more PWs remain to be examined. The case is one of snatching money and mobile phone at gun point but no recovery was effected from the petitioner. Keeping in view the custody period as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel concedes the submissions made regarding the facts of the case and the status of the trial. He, however, submits that a motorcycle used in the crime was recovered from the petitioner.

The fact remains that neither the money allegedly snatched nor the mobile phone were recovered from the petitioner. The trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as is evident from the aforementioned circumstances. There is no other pending criminal case against the petitioner and thus, keeping in view his custody period and the factum of non-completion of trial at an early date, I deem it appropriate to grant him regular bail.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

16.09.2020
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No