

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1877-2020
Decided on : 30.01.2020**

Narinder Singh alias Nita

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Devaki Anand Sullar, Asstt. AG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Rakesh Kumar.

Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No. 110, dated 04.11.2019, under Sections 306, 201 IPC, registered at Police Station Banur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

It has been *inter alia* contended by the counsel for the petitioner that when the deceased, who was his brother's wife died, he was not even present in the village, as he was working as a driver at Kurukshetra. It has been further contended that the deceased, who had been married for 13 years with his brother had never ever made any complaint before any authority regarding any kind of harassment, having been meted out to her by him or his family.

Learned State counsel and Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate, who has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant, have vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It has been

submitted by them that even before the complainant and other members of the family could reach the cremation ground, the accused including the petitioner, who was very much present at the cremation ground had set the pyre of the deceased on fire. On reaching the cremation ground, the complainant and other members of the family managed to extinguish the fire and retrieve the partially burnt body of the deceased. It was submitted that it was very evident from the facts and circumstances of the case that all the accused including the petitioner had connived together to destroy vital evidence *qua* the cause of death.

Heard.

Keeping in view the nature of serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground for grant concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed. However, anything observed herein shall not be taken as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 30, 2020

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No