

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.516 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.01.2020**

Paramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Ms. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. Petitioner has preferred this criminal writ petition for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and personal liberty from being invaded by respondents No.4 to 8 and other police officials of the Police Station Khalra, Distric Tarn Taran and also to take legal action against respondents No.5 to 8 and other persons obstructing the functioning of Gram Panchayat in repairing the bridge/passage leading to the fields of farmers.

[2]. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is still working as Sarpanch of the village on the strength of order dated 03.07.2017 passed by the High Court in

FAO Nos.4071, 4072 and 4073 of 2017 vide which operation of the order dated 23.05.2017 passed by SDM-cum-Election Tribunal, Tarn Taran was stayed. The aforesaid appeals are still pending in the High Court. Due to election rivalry, the private respondents do not allow development works in the village and they keep on creating hurdles in smooth functioning of Gram Panchayat and development works.

[3]. Petitioner has given details of events in the petition and further states that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, his representation dated 07.01.2020 collectively moved before the DSP, Bhikhivind and SSP Tarn Taran is lawfully considered by the police authority.

[4]. Notice of motion.

[5]. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

[6]. This petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to look into the allegations of the petitioner and if his innocence is established, then take corrective measures in accordance with law. However, he will not be influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove. He would be at liberty to devise his own ways and means to evaluate the innocence of the petitioner in accordance with law. Copy of petition be also

sent to respondent No.2 along with this order.

[7]. Disposed of.

17.01.2020
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No