

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1112 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 19, 2020

Shri Om Parkash Bansal Educational and Social Welfare Trust
and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Dharminder Satija

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 06.12.2019 (P-6) passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Fatehgarh Sahib, whereby, application of the plaintiffs-petitioners under Order 38 Rule 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 was dismissed.

Case called twice, but no one is appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

This Court, on 26.02.2020, passed the following order:-

“On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioners, Fees Regulatory Body-cum-District Education Officer (SE) Patiala through its Member Secretary is ordered to be impleaded as party-respondent No.2. Registry to do the needful.

Notice of motion for 19.03.2020.

Dasti as well.

Be shown in the urgent list.

Learned counsel for the petitioners will produce on record the recognition and authorisation issued in favour of the School and the audit by the Fee Regulatory Body, for the last three years.”

Office report reveals that due to non-filing of the process fee, *dasti* as well as ordinary notice could not be issued.

Since neither the petitioners are appearing despite the case having been called twice; nor process fee has been deposited, therefore, it seems that they are not interested in pursuing the matter further.

In view of the above, petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

March 19, 2020

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No