

**201-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.1702 of 2020

Date of Decision:21.05.2020

GURJENT SINGH @ JANTA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition the petitioner seeks the grant of concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.318, dated 23.11.2019, registered under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 324, 336, 427, 506 and 34 (added later on) of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal.

On 16.01.2020, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had directed that in the event of his arrest, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail. The petitioner was further directed to join investigation as and when called upon to do so as also to abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Seeking anticipatory bail learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his client has been falsely implicated; he is not involved in any other criminal case; no recovery is to be effected from him and that under the interim orders of this Court the petitioner has not only joined investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parveen submits that the petitioner has joined investigation; he has fully cooperated with the investigating agency; no recovery is to be effected from him; there is no other criminal case pending against him and that at this stage, the petitioner is not required by the State for further questioning.

Since the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case; he has joined investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigating agency; no recovery is to be effected from him and at this stage, the petitioner is not required by the investigating agency for any further questioning, this Court is of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not warranted.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 16.01.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 21, 2020

Jyoti-1 Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No