

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

280

CRWP-487-2020

Date of Decision : 30.01.2020

Tuli and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present : Mr. Judgepreet Singh Warring, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, D.A.G. Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondents No.4 and 5.

ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, claiming to have performed marriage against the wishes of their relatives, have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty from the danger at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

While issuing notice of motion, vide order dated 15.01.2020 passed by this Court, respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhaian was directed to look into the grievances of the petitioners and take appropriate action for protection of their life and liberty.

As per office report respondents No.4 and 5 have been duly served but none has appeared for them.

Reply on behalf of respondent-State by way of affidavit of Sh. Waryam Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (Central), Ludhiana has been filed in the Court today which is taken on record.

In the reply, the deponent has submitted that the petitioners have performed marriage on 11.01.2020 which was objected by respondents No.4 and 5 (parents of petitioner No.1). Now, respondents No.4 and 5 have gone to their native village at West Bengal and the petitioners have no danger from them and they do not want any police protection.

This factual position is not disputed by learned Counsel for the petitioners who submits that now there is no apprehension of danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

In view of the above, no further action is required to be taken on the petition, which is disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to seek protection of their life and liberty in case of apprehension of any danger by them from respondents No.4 and 5.

30.01.2020
Kothiyal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No