

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

Civil Revision No.395 of 2020

Date of decision : 04.02.2020.

Chand Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurpreet Kaur

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 16.08.2018 whereby the application preferred by the respondent under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, 'the Act') has been allowed and the petitioner has been directed to pay her maintenance @ ₹4,000/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondent is an educated lady and besides running a beauty parlour, she also takes tuitions and is earning an amount of ₹30,000/- per month. He also contends that the respondent had also sold property which the petitioner had purchased in her name.

Heard.

It was stated in the application under Section 24 of the Act that the petitioner is working as a property advisor and is also doing the business of sale and purchase of car and his income is about ₹80,000/- per month. The respondent besides herself is also looking after the minor daughter who was born out of the wedlock. She has been granted maintenance allowance @ of ₹6,000/- per month under Section 125 of Cr.P.C. Taking into

consideration the maintenance of ₹6,000/- which had already been granted under Section 125 Cr.P.C., the Family Court has granted a sum of ₹4,000/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* from the date of filing of the application along with ₹5,500/- as litigation expenses.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the maintenance *pendente lite* appears to be just and reasonable and does not call for any interference while exercising revisional jurisdiction.

The petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 04, 2020
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No