

Hari Chand

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been restrained by the Civil Court from alienating certain specific khasra numbers. There is no stay in operation regarding the remaining land and, thus, the revenue record should be in accordance with the orders of the Civil Court. An application dated 19.07.2019 was made to make the necessary corrections but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Let six copies of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Keeping in view the nature of order being passed and the prayer made in the writ petition, filing of written statement is not necessary.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the representation dated 19.07.2019 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law and to take appropriate action thereupon if the same is justified within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 17, 2020

*reena***[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**