

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1640-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.07.2020

Love Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. S.S. Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.1082, dated 18.10.2016, under Sections 148/149/302/307/120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station City Gurugram.

It has been contended by counsel that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of co-accused, namely, Braham Parkash. Allegations against the petitioner even as per disclosure statement are that he had conducted recee of the spot where the offence was stated to have been committed.

Petitioner was arrested on 18.01.2017.

During the course of arguments, it has gone controverted that co-accused, Jaibir Singh and against whom there were similar allegations has been granted benefit of bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide

order dated 09.12.2019 in CRM-M-3271-2019.

Trial is still at the initial stage and would take time to conclude.

Petitioner has already suffered incarceration for a period of almost 3 ½ years.

Without making any observations on merits, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Gurugram.

Disposed of.

24.07.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |