

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

104

CR-3020-2007(O&M)

Date of decision : 18.02.2020

A.D.KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

versus

SAROJ KUAMR MALHOTRA & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Application for leave to contest has been declined by the learned Rent Controller by way of impugned order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-tenant submitted that the petitioner is owner of House No.629/11B, Chandigarh. However, in the petition filed under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, the aforesaid fact was concealed. He further submitted that when leave to contest was sought on the ground of concealment, learned Rent Controller did not examine the aforesaid issue.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, the order under challenge is set aside. Leave to contest is granted. However, learned Rent Controller is requested to decide the rent petition, preferably within 6 months.

Parties through their counsels are directed to appear before the learned Rent Controller, on 06.03.2020.

February 18, 2020

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

: Yes/No

: Yes/No