

**145 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.1419 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 20, 2020**

Madan Lal and others Petitioners

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sushil Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the revision of pay scale of the Junior Engineer, the claim of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No.2605 of 1998, titled as ***“R.K. Aggarwal vs State of Punjab”***, decided on 02.07.2014.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that even the Letter Patent Appeal being LPA No.1991 of 2014, challenging the said judgment has already been dismissed by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 29.07.2019 and therefore, the petitioners are also entitled for the pay scale of ₹2,000-3,500/- w.e.f. 01.01.1986 and pay scale of ₹2,200-4,000/- w.e.f. 01.01.1991.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that after completion of eight years of service, the petitioner became entitled for the pay scale of ₹3,000-4,500/- and pay scale of ₹3,700-5,300/- on completion of 18 years of service.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that though the claim of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment of ***R.K. Aggarwal's*** case (*supra*), but the benefit of the same has not been extended to the petitioners without any valid justification. Prayer of the petitioners is for grant of pay scale, as granted by this Court in ***R.K. Aggarwal's*** cas (*supra*) along with interest.

Counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, petitioners have served legal notices dated 14.11.2019 (Annexure P-3) and 19.12.2019 (Annexure P-4) with the respondents, which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order, in accordance with law.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notices dated 14.11.2019 (Annexure P-3) and 19.12.2019 (Annexure P-4), by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

January 20, 2020

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No