

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.1896 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Order:12.03.2020

Surinder Kumar Mahajan

..Petitioner

Versus

Bimla Devi

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Learned counsels for the parties are ad-idem that the petitioner-tenant has been ordered to be evicted by the learned Rent Controller against which the tenant proposes to file appeal. By way of impugned order, the petitioner was ordered to be evicted on non-deposit of provisional rent.

In this revision petition, the order of eviction on the ground of non-deposit of provisional rent has been challenged. The revision was admitted and the learned Rent Controller was directed to proceed with the main eviction petition.

As noticed above, the main eviction petition has now been decided against the tenant.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present revision petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioner to assail the correctness of the order impugned herein before the Appellate Authority in

terms of Order 43 Rule 1A of the Code of Civil Procedure.

March 12, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No