

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CR-1895-2016(O&M)

State Bank of India

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mandeep Singh and another

.....Respondents

2. CR-2124-2016(O&M)

State Bank of Patiala

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mandeep Singh and another

.....Respondents

Date of decision: 11.02.2020

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Bikramjit Arora, Advocate for the respondents

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

By this order two revision petitions i.e CR-1895-2016 and CR-2124-2016 shall stand disposed of.

State Bank of Patiala has filed these two revision petitions against orders passed by the learned Trial Court dismissing application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC to reject the plaint.

Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for some time submits that the interest of the petitioner-Bank is suffering on account of an ex parte injunction granted by the learned Trial Court. Hence, he prays that he may be permitted to withdraw the present revision petitions with liberty to file application for vacation of ex parte

injunction order.

Keeping in view the prayer made, present revision petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid. Learned Trial Court is requested to decide the application for vacation of an ex parte injunction order within one month from the date of its filing. Needless to observe that learned Trial Court would decide the application independently, uninfluenced by the impugned order passed.

11.02.2020

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No