

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP No. 1043 of 2020
Date of Decision : 16.01.2020

Bhim Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The grievance which is being raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition is that respondents No. 9 to 19 are operating vehicles without there being any permits in their favour as the permits, which were held by respondents No. 9 to 19, have already expired.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that keeping in view the plying of the illegal vehicles, petitioner is suffering prejudice and has already raised the grievance with the authorities for taking appropriate action for stopping the illegal operation of the tempos. Learned counsel further states that a representation has already been submitted to respondents No. 2 and 3-Regional Transport Authorities, Ludhiana and Faridkot as well as respondent No. 4-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as no action was

taken on the representation of the petitioner, petitioner served upon the respondents a legal notice dated 01.10.2019 (Annexure P-10) for taking appropriate action against the illegal plying of the vehicles by respondents No. 9 to 19. Learned counsel states that the said legal notice is still pending consideration with the respondents and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, if a direction is issued to respondents No. 2, 3 and 4 to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, respondents No. 2, 3 and 4 are directed to decide the legal notice dated 01.10.2019 (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is made clear that all persons, who are likely to be affected by the decision be given an opportunity of hearing before passing the appropriate order on the legal notice, including respondents No. 9 to 19.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

January 16, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*