

125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No. 400 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.01.2020

Sham Lal Petitioner
Versus
Gagandeep Singh ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The tenant-petitioner has filed the revision petition against order passed by Learned Appellate Authority rejecting application filed for permission to amend the written statement filed before the Ld. Rent Controller.

Tenant had pleaded that the landlord is owner of other properties and therefore, the need of the landlord is not bona fide. Landlord filed reply and contested the aforesaid contention. Learned Appellate Authority after examining the amendment sought for and the reply filed by the landlord thereto, found that the application for amendment of the written statement is misconceived meant on delaying the disposal of the first appeal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner although made sincere attempts, however, failed to draw any substantive error in the order. Hence, there is no ground to interfere.

Dismissed.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

21.01.2020
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No