

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

102

CR No.150 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:13.2.2020

Dharam Pal and others

... Appellants

versus

State Bank of India

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.J.P.Dhull, Advocate,
for the appellants
Mr.S.S.Mann, Advocate,
for the respondent
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Both learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the dispute between the parties, i.e. the petitioners (plaintiffs in the suit) and the respondent-State Bank of India, has been settled.

Though, despite directions by this court, none of the petitioners are present in court, however, more importantly, the Manager of the State Bank of India, Branch Kithana, District Jind, is present and a certificate under the stamp of the bank and the signatures of the Manager has been given to this court, stating to the effect that the entire account of the petitioners has been settled under the OTS Scheme 2019-20, on 5.9.2019, with a final payment of Rs.2,49,854.40 ps. received by the bank, with the account therefore closed.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in fact the suit itself has become infructuous and consequently this petition in any case may

be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

13.2.2020
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No