

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.959 of 2020

Date of decision: 15.01.2020

Dr. Neelam and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ritu Bahri, J.

The petitioners are seeking direction to the respondents to allow them to work as Extension Lecturers till regular appointments are made. Further, in case, the petitioners are replaced and relieved from services by the respondents due to transfer/deputation of regular or contractual lecturers from other colleges, the respondents be directed to adjust them against available workload in the same college or the colleges from where the transfer has been made.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners are working as Extension Lecturers as per experience certificates (Annexures P-1 to P-4). They are still working as per details given in para No.2 of the petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG,

Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to allow the petitioners to work till regular appointments are made and they shall not be replaced on the basis of transfer of regular employees. However, it is made clear that if, some new regular candidate joins, the petitioners can be relieved as per judgment passed in **Menka and others vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided on 05.05.2016. Further, the respondents will consider the retention of petitioners as per the guidelines dated 13.7.2018 (Annexure P-5). This exercise shall be completed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

15.01.2020
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No