

CRWP-376-2020

Date of decision: 13.01.2020

SAKINA AND ANR.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

It has been stated that both the petitioners have attained the age for a valid marriage. The marriage of the petitioners has been solemnized on 11.01.2020. Copy of marriage certificate dated 11.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) and photographs dated 11.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) are on record. The petitioners are stated to be residing at District Barnala. Apprehending danger to their lives, at the instance of private respondents who are the relatives of the petitioners, the present petition has been instituted. The allegations are also substantiated with the affidavit of petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Bhardwaj, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and if he finds that apprehension of danger to the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners is real, he shall take appropriate steps to ensure their protection as he feels necessary, in such

circumstances of the case.

This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their marriage or any civil or criminal proceedings.

13.01.2020
remubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No