

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.269 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 22, 2020

Parsa Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

Prem Dass alias Surinder Kumar and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 31.10.2018 (P-1) passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), SBS Nagar (for short 'ACJ'), whereby, the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short 'CPC') has not been decided; rather the issues have been framed in main suit.

At the outset, instead of challenging the impugned order dated 31.10.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner has confined her prayer only to the extent that learned trial Court be directed to finally decide the application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of CPC.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Petition is disposed off, with a request to the learned trial Court to dispose off the application filed under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 of CPC by the petitioner expeditiously, preferably, within two months from today, if there is no legal impediment.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

January 22, 2020

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No