

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1244 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 30, 2020

Santosh Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG Haryana.

Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.54 dated 20.09.2018, under Sections 323, 354, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Women Police Station Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and on the direction of this Court, has joined the investigation. It is submitted that in fact, the matter has been amicably settled between the parties vide a Compromise/Agreement (a copy of which has been taken on record).

Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant does not dispute the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for the custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation and has joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 14.01.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

January 30, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No