

**S.No.126**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CR No.234 of 2020 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:20.01.2020**

**Jagmohan Singh and another**

**.....Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**Ajit Singh and another**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present:- Mr. Bikranjeet Singh Bajwa, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)**

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners against the order dated 04.12.2019 passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jagraon, District Ludhiana; whereby the application for recording the evidence of Gurpreet Kaur – respondent No.2 (Beneficiary of the Will) and of Gurbaksh Singh Bhatia; residing in USA; through video conferencing; was allowed.

The grievance of the petitioners is that the trial Court has ordered to proceed with the recording of the evidence of the witnesses of the plaintiff through video conferencing without complying with the guidelines issued by the High Court.

A bare perusal of the order itself shows that while passing the order, the trial Court has specifically written that the application is allowed with a direction to comply with the guidelines issued by the High Court for recording the evidence through video conferencing. Therefore, no fault can be found with the order of the trial Court, as such.

Hence, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

However, for any other grievance regarding the compliance of the guidelines of the High Court on the issue, the petitioners would be at liberty to approach the appropriate designated authority in this regard.

January 20, 2020  
renu

( RAJBIR SEHRAWAT )  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |