

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

220 - CWP-1040-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.11.2020

Ram Vilas Singh

... Petitioner

VS.

UOI & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. RD Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate for UOI

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of lockdown due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

The petitioner *inter alia* seeks quashing of the Daily Order Part II dated 13.09.2018 (P15) showing the petitioner had overstayed on leave without holding any enquiry, order dated 03.12.2019 (P19) to hold the enquiry for regularization of abovesaid period of absence in Arunachal Pradesh itself. A direction is sought to respondents No.3&4 to hold enquiry regarding the alleged absence/overstay of leave period from 22.07.2018 to 07.09.2019 at Chandigarh.

Record shows that on 26.02.2020 notice of motion was issued. Thereafter CM-11080-CWP-2020 was filed seeking amendment of the writ petition.

Today on the resumed hearing of the case, learned counsel at the outset makes a request that the application may be permitted to be withdrawn and the writ petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

So ordered.

The application stands withdrawn and the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

06.11.2020

vishal shonkar

**(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No