

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-656-2020

Date of decision: - 13.01.2020

Sarbrinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: - Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was entitled for the benefits under the Dynamic ACP Scheme dated 17.04.2000 (Annexure P-5) as well as subsequent instructions issued in that regard on 22.12.2011 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the benefits of the abovesaid ACP Scheme (P-5) and the instructions (P-6) have already been given to the similarly situated employees, but despite the fact that the petitioner has served a legal notice dated 30.11.2017 (Annexure P-14) while he was in service, no benefit has been extended to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification. The prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant him the benefit of ACP Scheme (P-5) read with instructions (P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that as the legal notice dated 30.11.2017 (Annexur P-14) is still pending consideration with the respondents, the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 30.11.2017 (Annexur P-14) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

January 13, 2020
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No