

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-85-2020

Date of Decision: 27.01.2020

Sunil Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Satish Kumar

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

1. Submits that the petitioner would be willing to deposit the amount as directed by the Ld. Appellate Court but due to financial hardship, he needs some time to do so.

2. In such circumstances, the impugned order passed by the Ld. Appellate Court is modified to the extent that the petitioner shall positively deposit the amount required of him within one month from today, failing which, appropriate action shall follow.

3. Ld. Appellate Court may proceed with the appeal pending before it in compliance of this direction.

4. Disposed off.

27.01.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No