

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

115

**CWP-2298-2020 &
CM-2517-CWP-2020**

Date of decision: 13.02.2020

KULDEEP SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Hargobinder Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM-2517-CWP-2020

C.M. is allowed subject to just exceptions. Affidavit of the
petitioner is taken on record.

CWP-2298-2020

Reply by way of affidavit of the Deputy Inspector General of
Prisons, Punjab dated 10.02.2020 has been filed in Court, where in para-3, it
has been stated as follows:-

“3. That the committee examined the record pertaining to the

recruitment of Warders and Matrons-2011 and submitted its report to respondent No. 2. In the report, the committee states that the General Recruitment Committee of Warders/Matrons-2011 followed the Standing Order No. 1 to 2011, duly approved by the State Government for selection process.

However, the petitioner had applied under SC (Others) Category and he mentioned his educational qualification as M.A. Ist Semester (History) in Column No. 9 “Educational Qualification” (A copy of application form is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/T). Therefore, the petitioner was awarded the marks of educational qualification of B.A. instead of marks of M.A. as he was not completed the Degree of Masters (M.A.) at the time of filling the application form. The criteria of awarding the educational qualification marks has been laid down as per the Standing Order No. 1 of 2011, duly approved by the State Government (A copy of Standing Order No. 1 of 2011 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-2):

Educational Qualification (Warder)

<i>Qualification</i>	<i>Marks</i>
Middle	8
Matric	9
10+2 (ICSE, PSEB,CBSE or equivalent)	10
B.A.-I, B.Sc.-I/B.Com-I or equivalent	11
B.A.-II, B.Sc.-II/B.Com-II or equivalent	12
B.A.-III, B.Sc.-III/B.Com-III or equivalent	13
M.A.LLB/Eng.M.Sc/M.CA/MBBS/MPED / BDS or equivalent	14
M.Phil/Phd/LLM	15

That after re-measurement and re-checking the educational qualification, the petitioner was found to be qualified for interview. After all the events, the petitioner obtained the marks as under:-

<i>Name & Roll No.</i>	<i>Category</i>	<i>Height, Educational qualification & Interview Marks</i>	<i>Cut off Marks</i>
KULDEEP SINGH (112179)	SC (OTHER)	(1)12 MARKS OF HEIGHT (5'9.50") (2) 13 MARKS OF EDUCATION QUALIFICATION (B.A.) (3) 0.63 MARKS OF INTERVIEW 25.63 (TOTAL MARKS)	26.38

Therefore, the name of the petitioner had not been placed in the final merit list as final cut off marks for the post of Warder of SC-(Others) category was 26.38 marks."

A perusal of the above would clearly indicate that 14 marks have been assigned for the Post-Graduate degree or equivalent. Since the petitioner has passed M.A.-I, he has been assigned the marks of educational qualification of B.A. i.e. 13 marks instead of marks of M.A. which are 14. As far as the other qualifications of the petitioners are concerned, he has been assigned correct marks as per the educational qualifications and the marks assigned for the post of Warder are concerned.

In view of the above, there being no merit in the present writ petition, the same stands dismissed.

February 13, 2020

pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No