

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

**CRM-M No. 1011 of 2020
Date of decision:04.02.2020**

SONU

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr.Ravi Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C.
for grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.1269 dated
10.9.2018 registered under Section 379-A IPC at Police Station
Panipat City District Panipat.

Petitioner was arrested on 29.9.2018. Motorcycle
and mobile phone were recovered from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in other
cases, the petitioner has been granted bail. In the present
case, the bail has been declined primarily on the ground that the
petitioner has refused to undergo test identification parade and,
therefore, adverse inference is liable to be drawn against him.

Learned State counsel states that the petitioner is
habitual snatcher and he has refused to undergo his test
identification parade, therefore, concession of bail should not be

advanced to the petitioner.

Taking into consideration the custody period of the petitioner and the fact that adverse inference has to be drawn at an appropriate stage in accordance with law, at this stage, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, this petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

February 04, 2020
anita
Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE
Yes/No
Yes/No