

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**CM-16425-CII-2019 in/and
COCP No. 3399 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 29, 2020**

Tej Singh and another

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

Om Parkash and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vij, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Mann, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 5.

Mr. Rajat Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 3-applicant.

Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for respondent No. 4

Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No. 6.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 1 filed
today in the Court is taken on record.

The order dated 16.08.2018 was passed against the respondent-
Municipal Committee, Sohna through its President and Secretary. In this
petition, neither the President nor the Secretary have impleaded as a party,
rather the petitioners have been impleaded the Naib Tehsildar, Executive

Officer, Municipal Engineer, Junior Engineer, Patwari and Contractor as party, who were not party in the regular second appeal.

In view of this, contempt petition cannot be proceeded against them and is dismissed with liberty to file fresh one with better particulars.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 29, 2020
Jyoti-II

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>